

PRIMES is in coRP

EVAN CHEN

February 22, 2016

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Modular arithmetic

In middle school you might have encountered questions such as

Exercise 1. What is $3^{2016} \pmod{10}$?

You could answer such questions by listing out 3^n for small n and then finding a pattern, in this case of period 4. However, for large moduli this “brute-force” approach can be time-consuming.

Fortunately, it turns out that one can predict the period in advance.

Theorem 2 (Euler’s little theorem)

- (a) Let $\gcd(a, n) = 1$. Then $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.
- (b) (Fermat) If p is a prime, then $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$ for every a .

Proof. Part (a) is a special case of Lagrange’s Theorem (see [3, Chapter 1]): if G is a finite group and $g \in G$, then $g^{|G|}$ is the identity element. Now select $G = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Part (b) is the case $n = p$. \square

Thus, in the middle school problem we know in advance that $3^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{10}$ because $\phi(10) = 4$. This bound is sharp for primes:

Theorem 3 (Primitive roots)

For every p prime there’s a $g \pmod{p}$ such that $g^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ but $g^k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for any $k < p - 1$. (Hence $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p - 1)$.)

For a proof, see the last exercise of [4].

we will define the following anyways:

Definition 4. We say an integer n (thought of as an exponent) **annihilates** the prime p if

- $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for every prime p ,
- or equivalently, $p - 1 \mid n$.

Theorem 5 (All/nothing)

Suppose an exponent n does not annihilate the prime p . Then more than $\frac{1}{2}p$ of $x \pmod{p}$ satisfy $x^n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Proof. Much stronger result is true: in $x^n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ then $x^{\gcd(n, p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. \square

1.2 Repeated Exponentiation

Even without the previous facts, one can still do:

Theorem 6 (Repeated exponentiation)

Given x and n , one can compute $x^n \pmod{N}$ with $O(\log n)$ multiplications mod N .

The idea is that to compute $x^{600} \pmod{N}$, one just multiplies $x^{512+64+16+8}$. All the x^{2^k} can be computed in k steps, and $k \leq \log_2 n$.

1.3 Chinese remainder theorem

In the middle school problem, we might have noticed that to compute $3^{2016} \pmod{10}$, it suffices to compute it modulo 5, because we already know it is odd. More generally, to understand $x \pmod{n}$ it suffices to understand x modulo each of its prime powers.

The formal statement, which we include for completeness, is:

Theorem 7 (Chinese remainder theorem)

Let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m be distinct primes, and $e_i \geq 1$ integers. Then there is a ring isomorphism given by the natural projection

$$\mathbb{Z}/n \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e_i}.$$

In particular, a random choice of $x \pmod{n}$ amounts to a random choice of $x \pmod{p_i^{e_i}}$ for each prime power.

For an example, in the following table (from [5]) we see the natural bijection between $x \pmod{15}$ and $(x \pmod{3}, x \pmod{5})$.

$x \pmod{15}$	$x \pmod{3}$	$x \pmod{5}$	$x \pmod{15}$	$x \pmod{3}$	$x \pmod{5}$
0	0	0	8	2	3
1	1	1	9	0	4
2	2	2	10	1	0
3	0	3	11	2	1
4	1	4	12	0	2
5	2	0	13	1	3
6	0	1	14	2	4
7	1	2			

2 The RSA algorithm

This simple number theory is enough to develop the so-called RSA algorithm. Suppose Alice wants to send Bob a message M over an insecure channel. They can do so as follows.

- Bob selects integers d , e and N (with N huge) such that N is a semiprime and

$$de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(N)}.$$

- Bob publishes both the number N and e (the **public key**) but keeps the number d secret (the **private key**).

- Alice sends the number $X = M^e \pmod{N}$ across the channel.
- Bob computes

$$X^d \equiv M^{de} \equiv M^1 \equiv M \pmod{N}$$

and hence obtains the message M .

In practice, the N in RSA is at least 2000 bits long.

The trick is that an adversary cannot compute d from e and N without knowing the prime factorization of N . So the security relies heavily on the difficulty of factoring.

Remark 8. It turns out that we basically don't know how to factor large numbers N : the best known classical algorithms can factor an n -bit number in

$$O\left(\exp\left(\frac{64}{9}n \log(n)^2\right)^{1/3}\right)$$

time (“general number field sieve”). On the other hand, with a *quantum* computer one can do this in $O(n^2 \log n \log \log n)$ time.

3 Primality Testing

Main question: if we can't factor a number n quickly, can we at least check it's prime?

In what follows, we assume for simplicity that n is **squarefree**, i.e. $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k$ for distinct primes p_k . This doesn't substantially change anything, but it makes my life much easier.

3.1 Co-RP

Here is the goal: we need to show there is a random algorithm A which does the following.

- If n is composite then
 - More than half the time A says “definitely composite”.
 - Occasionally, A says “possibly prime”.
- If n is prime, A always says “possibly prime”.

If there is a polynomial time algorithm A that does this, we say that PRIMES is in Co-RP. Clearly, this is a very good thing to be true!

3.2 Fermat

One idea is to try to use the converse of Fermat's little theorem: given an integer n , pick a random number $x \pmod{n}$ and see if $x^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. (We compute using repeated exponentiation.) If not, then we know for sure n is not prime, and we call x a **Fermat witness** modulo n .

How good is this test? For most composite n , pretty good:

Proposition 9

Let n be composite. Assume that there is a prime $p \mid n$ such that $n-1$ does not annihilate p . Then over half the numbers mod n are Fermat witnesses.

Proof. Apply the Chinese theorem then the “all-or-nothing” theorem. □

Unfortunately, if n doesn't satisfy the hypothesis, then *all* the $\gcd(x, n) = 1$ satisfy $x^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$!

Are there such n which aren't prime? Such numbers are called **Carmichael numbers**, but unfortunately they exist, the first one is $561 = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$.

Remark 10. For $X \gg 1$, there are more than $X^{1/3}$ Carmichael numbers.

Thus these numbers are very rare, but they foil the Fermat test.

Exercise 11. Show that a Carmichael number is not a semiprime.

3.3 Rabin-Miller

Fortunately, we can adapt the Fermat test to cover Carmichael numbers too. It comes from the observation that if n is prime, then $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \implies a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$.

So let $n - 1 = 2^s t$, where t is odd. For example, if $n = 561$ then $560 = 2^4 \cdot 35$. Then we compute $x^t, x^{2t}, \dots, x^{n-1}$. For example [2] investigates the case $n = 561$ and $x = 245$:

	mod 561	mod 3	mod 11	mod 17
x	245	-1	3	7
x^{35}	122	-1	1	3
x^{70}	298	1	1	9
x^{140}	166	1	1	-4
x^{280}	67	1	1	-1
x^{560}	1	1	1	1

And there we have our example! We have $67^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$, so 561 isn't prime.

So the Rabin-Miller test works as follows:

- Given n , select a random x and compute powers of x as in the table.
- If $x^{n-1} \not\equiv 1$, stop, n is composite (Fermat test).
- If $x^{n-1} \equiv 1$, see if the entry just before the first 1 is -1 . If it isn't then we say x is a **RM-witness** and n is composite.
- Otherwise, n is "possibly prime".

How likely is probably?

Theorem 12

If n is Carmichael, then over half the $x \pmod{n}$ are RM witnesses.

Proof. We sample $x \pmod{n}$ randomly again by looking modulo each prime (Chinese theorem). By the theorem on primitive roots, show that the probability the first -1 appears in any given row is $\leq \frac{1}{2}$. This implies the conclusion. \square

Exercise 13. Improve the $\frac{1}{2}$ in the problem to $\frac{3}{4}$ by using the fact that Carmichael numbers aren't semiprime.

3.4 AKS

In August 6, 2002, it was in fact shown that PRIMES is in \mathbb{P} , using the deterministic AKS algorithm [1]. However, in practice everyone still uses Miller-Rabin since the implied constants for AKS runtime are large.

References

- [1] Agrawal, Manindra; Kayal, Neeraj; Saxena, Nitin (2004). "PRIMES is in P". *Annals of Mathematics* **160** (2): 781-793.
- [2] Bobby Kleinberg, *The Miller-Rabin Randomized Primality Test* <http://www.cs.cornell.edu/courses/cs4820/2010sp/handouts/MillerRabin.pdf>
- [3] Evan Chen, *An Infinitely Large Napkin*. <http://www.mit.edu/~evanchen/napkin.html>
- [4] Evan Chen, *Orders Module A Prime*. <http://www.mit.edu/~evanchen/handouts/ORPR/ORPR.pdf>
- [5] Horner Math Club MathCounts Materials: Problem Set 5.